

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/693,937

Applicant(s)

LANE, KERRY SCOTT

Examiner

Dionne A. Walls

Art Unit

1731

All Participants:(1) Dionne A. Walls(2) Bradford Kile**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 1 June 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.**Rejection(s) discussed:**

None

Claims discussed:

63, 65-67

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature) _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) _____

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested minor changes to claims 63, 65 and 66 in order to eliminate grammatical and formal errors, and also requested that claim 67 be amended to avoid potential 112-2 issues with respect to the "assaying" recitation. It was agreed that claim 67 would be reflected to recite assaying "at least one of said tobacco or said solvent" in order to clarify what was being "assayed". See attached Examiner's Amendment for detailed changes to the claims.